



Esther Chon¹, Jonathan Adkins¹, Natalie Duran¹, Sara Aman¹, Darwin Tsinajinnie¹, Manisha Warrier¹, Sharadha Sakthikumar¹, Salvatore Facista¹, Derick Whitley¹, David Haworth¹, Min Tang², William Hendricks¹, Guannan Wang¹



INTRODUCTION

Background

- Growing evidence from dogs and humans supports the existence mutation-based biomarkers in canine cancers.
- Increasing use of clinical genomic diagnostics now provides anoth source for biomarker discovery.

Hypothesis/Objectives

We analyzed clinical outcomes from cancer cases profiled with Se a canine cancer gene panel, to identify mutations with prognostic



Figure 1. SearchLight DNA[®] is a tumor-only, next-generation sequencing, hybrid-capture, canine ge DNA[®] covers 120 genes associated with canine or human cancer. Mutation types identified include single copy number variants (CNV), and internal tandem duplications (ITD). B. Next generation sequencing is tumor to identify mutations that then go through Vidium's bioinformatics pipeline to get annotated as prognosis, and/or therapy based on published literature curated and stored within Vidium's knowledgeb Annotation of each mutation diagnostic support, prognostic information, and targeted therapeutic options.

MATERIALS AND METHODSS

- SearchLight DNA reports between September 2020 and May 202 searched for the following inclusion criteria:
- At least 3 months elapsed since SearchLight DNA analysis was p
- At least 1 genomic biomarker (diagnostic, prognostic, therapeutic) SearchLight DNA report
- Reports not meeting these inclusion criteria were excluded

Novel Genomic Prognostic Biomarkers for Canine Cancer Patients

¹Vidium Animal Health, Scottsdale, AZ ²STATBEYOND Consulting LLC, Irvine, CA

	MATERIALS AND MET			
<section-header></section-header>	 Veterinarians overseeing dogs for whom they reports which met the inclusion criteria were Direct completion of an online questionnaire Sending dog's medical records to Vidium for or medical oncologist (EC) Demographic (age, gender, breed) and clinical treatments, first and subsequent progressions, de Genomic data (mutated genes, mutation types) Statistical analyses were performed. Progression-free survival (PFS) was the time for progression or death. Mutated genes, mutation types, cancer diagnot therapy was administered, and whether the dot fitted with univariate analysis and multivariate association with PFS The log-rank test compared Kaplan-Meier curr received and did not receive targeted therapy dogs that received genomically informed target not genomically informed. 			
	RESULTS			
THERAPEUTIC BIOMARKERS Ene panel. A. SearchLight nucleotide variants (SNV), performed on a submitted a biomarker of diagnosis, base, Vidium Insight™. C.	 n = 127 dogs were included for PFS analysis. Diagnoses spanned 26 cancer histologies. Six genes (CCND1, SMARCB1, FANCG, CDKN significantly associated with PFS (Figure 2) 			
	CDKN2B 33%			
22 were Derformed c) on the	SMARCB1 16% MSH6 7% CCND3 5% CCND1 5% Anal Sac Apocrine Gland Adenocarcinoma Bile Duct Carcinoma Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor(cecal) Hemangiosarcoma Histiocytic Sarcoma Liposarcoma Lung Carcinoma Masal Adenocarcinoma Neoplasia Nephroblastoma Neuroendocrine Carcinoma Sarcoma Softi Tissue Sarcoma Softi Tumor Squamous Cell Carcinoma Thymic Carcinoma Urothelial Carcinoma Softi Tissue Sarcoma Softi Tumor Squamous Cell Carcinoma Thymic Carcinoma Urothelial Carcinoma Softi Tumor Squamous Cell Carcinoma Thymic Carcinoma Urothelial Carcinoma Softi Tissue Sarcoma Softi Tumor Squamous Cell Carcinoma Thymic Carcinoma Urothelial Carcinoma Internet Softi Tumor Softi Tumor Softi Tumor Softi Tu			

THODS cont.

- had ordered SearchLight DNA contacted in 1 of 2 methods:
- completion of online questionnaire by
- (initial cancer diagnosis, initial eath) data points were collected. were collected.
- from initial diagnosis to first
- osis, signalment, whether targeted og received standard of care[#] were Cox proportional hazard models for
- rves of PFS between dogs that prior to first progression, and between eted therapy vs. any therapy that was

standard practice to treat the specific cancer type, as defined by EC

N2B, MSH6, CCND3) were cellular Carcinom sothelioma Amplification <a>D Truncating Mutation No alterations arcinoma Aqe Deep Deletion Deletion

cancer diagnoses, and age in 127 dogs included for

- = 0.012, Figure 3A).



Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier plots of PFS between dogs that received targeted therapy vs. those that didn't (A), and between dogs that received genomically informed targeted therapy vs. those that received therapy that was not genomically informed (B).

The most common targeted therapies given in the genomically informed setting were olaparib, sirolimus, and trametinib (Table 1).

Drug	Dose	Schedule	n
Olaparib	2 - 3.2 mg/kg	once daily	9
	1.4 mg/kg	twice daily	1
	2 mg/kg	twice daily	1
	2.8 mg/kg	once daily x 7 days starting day of carboplatin chemotherapy*	1
Sirolimus	0.08 - 0.1 mg/kg	once daily	7
	0.1 mg/kg	every other day	1
Trametinib	0.4 - 0.5 mg/m2	once daily	3
	0.02 mg/kg	once daily	1
	not reported	not reported	1
Palbociclib	0.2 mg/kg	once daily	1
Toceranib	2.8 mg/kg	every other day	1
Lapatinib	2 mg/kg	once daily while receiving concurrent carboplatin**	1
Trametinib + Sirolimus	not reported	once daily for both	1

Table 1. Doses and schedules of targeted therapies given to the 29 dogs that received these in the genomically informed setting. *After completion of carboplatin course, this dog received continuous olaparib at the same dose once daily. **After completion of carboplatin course, this dog received continuous lapatinib at 11 mg/kg once daily.

SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

Using a comprehensive cancer gene panel, we identified novel mutations with prognostic value, across multiple cancer types. We demonstrate the benefit of genomically informed targeted therapies. This work provides a compelling view of the significant potential in genomics and precision medicine for dogs with cancer.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE



Dogs that received targeted therapy prior to first progression or death (n = 45) experienced significantly longer PFS compared to those that did not (n = 82; P

Dogs that received genomically informed targeted therapy (n = 29) experienced significantly improved PFS compared to dogs that received therapy that was not genomically informed (n = 98, P = 0.050, Figure 3B).

All authors, excluding Min Tang, are full-time employees of Vidium Animal Health. SearchLight DNA is a product developed and provided by Vidium Animal Health.